

City of California City

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant may want to verify maps are available for no cost and that maps indicate the relative difficulty of each OHV trail and indicate the appropriate OHV use type.
- #8 – The narrative does not support 8a-d. Applicant may want to provide further details.
- #12b – The narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to provide further details.

Development	G09-03-26-D01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – The Grant Administrator is unclear. The applicant may want to provide further details. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 – Identified plan is not listed. The applicant needs to identify the publicly reviewed and adopted plan that supports the need for the project. • #8 – “Other” indicates “sports canine trails”. Did applicant mean “trials vs. trails”? • #10 – Applicant cannot list themselves as a partner. • #11 – The narrative does not support response. The applicant may want to provide further details. 	

Ground Operations	G09-03-26-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	

Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equipment Use Expense – The Unit of Measure (UOM) is missing for the rental equipment fuel. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2 – The narrative does not support responses. Applicant may want to provide further details. #5 – The applicant cannot list themselves as a partner. #6 – The narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide further details. #8 – The narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide further details. 	

Education and Safety	G09-03-26-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equipment Purchases – Exam tables, cabinets and ECG cardiac monitors appear excessive. The applicant may wish to provide further details. Indirect costs – Grant writing does not appear to be related to the project. The applicant may want to provide further details. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #4 – The applicant cannot list themselves as a partner. #4 – Need to identify the specific name for the BLM Fire. #6 – The narrative does not support response. The applicant may want to provide further details. 	

Law Enforcement	G09-03-26-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – 5 Personnel Year (PY) of peace officers and 4 PYs of non-peace officers appear excessive. Applicant may want to provide further details in the Needs Assessment. Equipment Purchases – Three computers appear excessive. Applicant may want to provide further details. 	